


POLIBOTÁNICA

ISSN 1405-2768

ISSN 2395-9525



Núm. 60

 **CONAHCYT**
CONSEJO NACIONAL DE HUMANIDADES
CIENCIAS Y TECNOLOGÍAS

Julio 2025

PÁG.

CONTENIDO

- 1 *Mammillaria scoria* (cactaceae) una nueva especie de Querétaro, México
Mammillaria scoria (Cactaceae) a new species from Querétaro, México
Pedro González-Zamora | David Aquino | Daniel Sánchez
- 15 Revisión del género *Karwinskia* (Rhamnaceae) en México
Review of the *Karwinskia* genus (Rhamnaceae) in Mexico
Rafael Fernández Nava | María de la Luz Arreguín Sánchez
- 39 Diversidad florística de las áreas verdes urbanas de Miahuatlán, una ciudad pequeña de Oaxaca, México
Floristic diversity of the urban green areas of Miahuatlán, a small city from Oaxaca, Mexico
Víctor Gutiérrez Pacheco | Deisy Coromoto Rebolledo López
- 61 Caracterización morfológica de especies del género *Hylocereus* (Cactaceae) en una unidad de cultivo localizada en Molcaxac, Puebla, México
Morphological characterization of species of the genus *Hylocereus* (Cactaceae) in a cultivation unit located in Molcaxac, Puebla, Mexico
Vianey del Rocío Torres Pelayo
- 79 Estandarización del proceso de diafanización vegetal en las especies: *Adiantum pedantum* L. (Pteridaceae), *Nephrolepis exaltata* (L.) Schott (Nephrolepidaceae) y una Spermatophyta *Pyracantha koidzumii* Hayata Rehder Rosaceae
Standardization of the plant diaphanization process; of *Adiantum pedantum* L. (Pteridaceae), *Nephrolepis exaltata* (L.) Schott (Nephrolepidaceae) and one Spermatophyta *Pyracantha koidzumii* Hayata Rehder (Rosaceae)
Ruth Concepción Márquez Juárez | Arantxa Chowell-López | Diego Martínez Mata | Gabriela Sánchez Fabila Sánchez Fabila | Roberto Moreno Colín | Pilar Amellali Badillo-Suárez | Irma Estrella Beatriz Manuell Cacheux | Rogelio Monterrubio Valdivia
- 91 Análisis de la estructura de un bosque en una región del suroeste del estado de Durango
Analysis of the structure of a forest in a southwestern region of the state of Durango
Manuel Antonio Díaz-Vásquez | Pedro Antonio Domínguez-Calleros | Norberto Domínguez-Amaya | Héctor Manuel Loera-Gallegos | Jesús Alejandro Soto-Cervantes
- 107 Estructura y diversidad arbórea de una selva mediana perennifolia en el complejo ecoturístico Agua Selva, Tabasco, México
Tree structure and diversity of a medium evergreen forest in the Agua Selva ecotourism complex, Tabasco, Mexico
Manuel Pérez de la Cruz | Josué García León | José del Carmén Gerónimo Torres | Facundo Sánchez Gutiérrez | Miguel Alberto Magaña Alejandro | Aracely de la Cruz Pérez
- 123 Diversidad del sotobosque; un atributo de evaluación en reforestaciones utilizadas como estrategias de restauración forestal
Understory diversity; an evaluation attribute in reforestations used as a forest restoration strategy
Francisca Ofelia Plascencia Escalante | Isidoro Herrera Ávila | Marfín Pérez Suárez | Patricia Hernández De La Rosa | Gregorio Ángeles Pérez
- 141 Estructura y diversidad arbórea bajo dos esquemas de manejo forestal e influencia de la orientación geográfica en un bosque de Durango, México
Tree structure and diversity under two forest management schemes and the influence of geographic orientation in a forest in Durango, Mexico
José de Jesús Graciano Luna | Eduardo Alanís Rodríguez | Oscar Aguirre Calderón | César Martín Cantú Ayala | José Yerena Yamalle | Cristian Martínez Adriano | José Luján Soto
- 163 Reserva de carbono orgánico y nitrógeno en Luvisol bajo diferentes usos de suelo en Oaxaca, México
Organic carbon and nitrogen reserve in Luvisol under different land uses in Oaxaca, México
Celestino Sandoval García | Israel Cantú Silva
- 177 Estimación de carbono a nivel árbol individual en bosque natural mediante vehículos aéreos no tripulados (VANT)
Carbon estimation at individual tree level in natural forest using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV)
Jaime Briseño Reyes | Susana Isabel Hinojosa-Espinoza | José Javier Corral-Rivas | Jesús Aguirre-Gutiérrez | Daniel José Vega-Nieva | Héctor Manuel De los Santos Posadas
- 199 Variación morfométrica y espacial urbana de tres especies arbóreas en función del ancho de camellón en calles de la ciudad de San Luis Potosí, México
Morphometric and urban spatial variation of three tree species in relation to street median width in the city of San Luis Potosí Mexico
Andrea Candia Lomelí | Carlos Renato Ramos Palacios | Jonathan Hammurabi González Lugo | Fredy Alexander Alvarado Roberto
- 229 Descripción inicial de la fenología de *Quercus durifolia* Seemen ex Loes. árbol endémico de la Sierra Madre Occidental
Initial description of the phenology of *Quercus durifolia* Seemen ex Loes. endemic tree of the Sierra Madre Occidental
Rosa Elvira Madrid Aispuro | José Ángel Prieto Ruíz | Arnulfo Aldrete | Silvia Salcido Ruiz | Eduardo Daniel Vivar Vivar | Laura Elena Martínez Nevárez
- 245 Registro polínico en miel de *Apis mellifera* L. de dos localidades de la Reserva de la Biosfera Sierra de Manantlán, Jalisco, México
Pollen record on honeybee honey of *Apis mellifera* L. of Sierra of Manantlan Biosphere Reserve, Jalisco, México
Xochilt Morales Najarro | Iris Grisel Galván Escobedo | Monserrat Vázquez Sánchez | Montserrat Medina Acosta

PÁG.

CONTENIDO

- 263 Efecto de complejos orgánicos en la micropropagación de *Phalaenopsis* var. Dudu
Effect of organic complexes on micropropagation of *Phalaenopsis* var. Dudu
Amaury Arzate Fernández | Sandra Martínez Martínez | Tomás Norman Mondragón | María Mariezcurrena Berazain | Arely Piña Sampedreño
- 273 Evaluación de las respuestas de tres variedades de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) inoculadas con bacterias cuando se cultivan en condiciones de estrés por aguas residuales y sulfato de cobre.
Evaluation of the responses of three tomato varieties (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) inoculated with bacteria when grown under stress conditions due to wastewater and copper sulfate
Abdul Khalil Gardezi | Leticia Manuela Inzunza Medina | Guillermo Carrillo Castañeda | Hector Manuel Ortega Escobar | oscar raul mancilla villa | Juan Enrique Rubiños Panta | Jorge flores Velazquez | Mora Meraz Maldonado | Sergio Roberto Marquez Berber | Hector Flores Magdaleno | Gabriel Haro Aguilar
- 291 Especies de *Meloidogyne* asociadas a cultivos hortícolas en el Valle de Tepeaca, Puebla, México
Perineal patterns and isozyme phenotypes for the identification of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) in vegetables from the Tepeaca Valley, Puebla, Mexico
María Gabriela Medina Canales | Ana Karen Alquicira Jimenez | Norma García Aguilar | Iliá Mariana Escobar Ávila | Alejandro Tovar Soto
- 307 Efecto de las propiedades físicas y químicas del suelo en el estado nutrimental del nopal-verdura (*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill variedad Milpa Alta
Effect of soil physical and chemical properties on the nutritional status of nopal-vegetable (*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill variety Milpa Alta
Bertha Patricia Zamora Morales | Aurelio Báez Pérez | Leticia Bonilla-Valencia | Jorge Artemio Zegbe Domínguez | Marisela Cristina Zamora Martínez | Abel Quevedo-Nolasco
- 325 Evaluación fitoquímica de extractos de la resina de *Bursera fagaroides* (Kunth) Engl.
Phytochemical evaluation of resin extracts of *Bursera fagaroides* (Kunth) Engl.
Luis Antonio Flores-Hernández | Fanny Imelda Pastenes-Felizola | Fanny Imelda Pastenes-Felizola | Jose Luis Díaz-Núñez | Pablo Noé Núñez-Aragón
- 337 Callogénesis y análisis fitoquímico de *Euphorbia nutans* Lag.
Callogenesis and phytochemical analysis of *Euphorbia nutans* Lag.
Daniel Aguilar Jiménez | Benito Reyes Trejo | José Luis Rodríguez De la O | Juan Martínez Solís
- 355 Evaluación de dos métodos de desinfección de sustratos para la producción de *Pleurotus ostreatus*
Evaluation of two substrate disinfection methods for the production of *Pleurotus ostreatus*
Rosa Elena Hernández Hernández | Veronica Rosales Martinez | Carolina Flota Bañuelos | Mónica Leticia Osnaya González | Porfirio Morales Almora
- 367 Conservación genómica de dos especies del orden Asparagales con cariotipo bimodal, empleando hibridación genómica *in situ* (GISH)
Genomic conservation of two species of the order Asparagales with bimodal karyotype, using genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH)
María José García Castillo | Luis Carlos Rodríguez Zapata | Lorenzo Felipe Sanchez Teyer
- 381 Prácticas de manejo para la producción de (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) en productores del Municipio de Pungarabato, Guerrero, México
Management practices for the production of (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) in producers of the Municipality of Pungarabato, Guerrero, Mexico
Jaime Olivares | Santos Rodríguez Mejía | Saúl Rojas Hernández | Teolincacihualt Romero Rosales | Miguel Ángel Damian Valdéz | Vania Jiménez Lobato | Lucero Sarabia Salgado
- 395 Manejo del ramón *Brosimum alicastrum* Sw. en huertos familiares de Tzucacab, Yucatán, México
Ramón (*Brosimum alicastrum* Sw.) management in home gardens of Tzucacab, Yucatán, México
Rosalba Esther Mex Mex | Juan José Jiménez Osornio | Patricia Irene Montañez-Escalante | Héctor Estrada Medina | Guadalupe del Carmen Reyes Solis
- 411 Rescate y conservación del conocimiento tradicional sobre plantas medicinales en la sierra de Taxco, Guerrero, México: El caso del Toronjil (*Agastache mexicana* subsp. *mexicana*)
Rescue and conservation of traditional knowledge on medicinal plants in the Sierra de Taxco, Guerrero, Mexico: The case of Toronjil (*Agastache mexicana* subsp. *mexicana*)
Judith Morales Barrera | Blas Cruz-Lagunas | Miguel Angel Gruintal-Santos | Mirna Vázquez-Villamar | Teolincacihualt Romero-Rosales | Saúl Rojas-Hernández | Tania de Jesús Adame Zambrano
- 441 Etnobotánica de los chiles silvestres en dos comunidades ch'oles de Tacotalpa, Tabasco, México
Ethnobotany of wild chili peppers in two ch'ol communities of Tacotalpa, Tabasco, Mexico
Guadalupe Morales Valenzuela | María Isabel Villegas Ramírez
- 459 Caracterización sensorial para la diferenciación de mezcal ancestral de dos zonas productoras de Oaxaca, México
Sensory characterization for the differentiation of ancestral mezcal from two producing areas of Oaxaca, Mexico
Susana Yareth López García | Anastacio Espejel García | Arturo Hernández Montes | Landy Hernández Rodríguez | Ariadna Isabel Barrera Rodríguez

REVISTA BOTÁNICA INTERNACIONAL DEL INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL

EDITOR EN JEFE

Rafael Fernández Nava

EDITORA ASOCIADA

María de la Luz Arreguín Sánchez

COMITÉ EDITORIAL INTERNACIONAL

Christiane Anderson
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan, US

Delia Fernández González
Universidad de León
León, España

Heike Vibrans
Colegio de Postgraduados
Estado de México, México

José Angel Villarreal Quintanilla
Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro
Saltillo, Coahuila, México

Hugo Cota Sánchez
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada

Luis Gerardo Zepeda Vallejo
Instituto Politécnico Nacional
Ciudad de México, México

Fernando Chiang Cabrera
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Ciudad de México, México

Claude Sastre
Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle
Paris, Francia

Thomas F. Daniel
California Academy of Sciences
San Francisco, California, US

Mauricio Velayos Rodríguez
Real Jardín Botánico
Madrid, España

Francisco de Asis Dos Santos
Universidad Estadual de Feira de Santana
Feira de Santana, Brasil

Noemi Waksman de Torres
Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León
Monterrey, NL, México

Carlos Fabián Vargas Mendoza
Instituto Politécnico Nacional
Ciudad de México, México

Julieta Carranza Velázquez
Universidad de Costa Rica
San Pedro, Costa Rica

José Luis Godínez Ortega
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Ciudad de México, México

Tom Wendt
University of Texas
Austin, Texas, US

José Manuel Rico Ordaz
Universidad de Oviedo
Oviedo, España

Edith V. Gómez Sosa
Instituto de Botánica Darwinion
Buenos Aires, Argentina

Edith V. Gómez Sosa
Instituto de Botánica Darwinion
Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Juan Ramón Zapata Morales
Universidad de Guanajuato
Guanajuato, México

Jorge Llorente Bousquets
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Ciudad de México, México

DISEÑO Y FORMACIÓN ELECTRÓNICA

Luz Elena Tejeda Hernández

OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEM Y TECNOLOGÍAS DE LA INFORMACIÓN

Pedro Aráoz Palomino

POLIBOTÁNICA, revista botánica internacional del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, incluye exclusivamente artículos que representen los resultados de investigaciones originales en el área. Tiene una periodicidad de dos números al año, con distribución y Comité Editorial Internacional.

Todos los artículos enviados a la revista para su posible publicación son sometidos por lo menos a un par de árbitros, reconocidos especialistas nacionales o internacionales que los revisan y evalúan y son los que finalmente recomiendan la pertinencia o no de la publicación del artículo, cabe destacar que este es el medio con que contamos para cuidar el nivel y la calidad de los trabajos publicados.

INSTRUCCIONES A LOS AUTORES PARA LA PUBLICACIÓN DE TRABAJOS

Se aceptarán aquellos originales que se ajusten a las prescripciones siguientes:

POLIBOTÁNICA incluye exclusivamente artículos que representen los resultados de investigaciones originales que no hayan sido publicados.

1. El autor deberá anexar una carta membretada y firmada dirigida al Editor, donde se presente el manuscrito, así como la indicación de que el trabajo es original e inédito, ya que no se aceptan trabajos publicados o presentados anterior o simultáneamente en otra revista, circunstancia que el autor(es) deberá declarar expresamente en la carta de presentación de su artículo.
2. Al quedar aceptado un trabajo, su autor no podrá ya enviarlo a ninguna otra revista nacional o extranjera.
3. Los artículos deberán estar escritos en español, inglés, francés o portugués. En el caso de estar escritos en otros idiomas diferentes al español, deberá incluirse un amplio resumen en este idioma.
4. Como parte de los requisitos del CONACYT, POLIBOTÁNICA ahora usa la plataforma del Open Journal System (OJS); para la gestión de los artículos sometidos a la misma. Así que le solicitamos de la manera más atenta sea tan amable de registrarse y enviar su artículo en la siguiente liga: www.polibotanica.mx/ojs/index.php/polibotanica
 - a) cargar el trabajo en archivo electrónico de office-word, no hay un máximo de páginas con las siguientes características:
 - b) en páginas tamaño carta, letra times new roman 12 puntos a doble espacio y 2 cm por margen
5. Las figuras, imágenes, gráficas del trabajo deben estar incluidas en el documento de Word original:
 - a) en formato jpg
 - b) con una resolución mínima de 300 dpi y un tamaño mínimo de 140 mm de ancho
 - c) las letras deben estar perfectamente legibles y contrastadas
6. Todo trabajo deberá ir encabezado por:
 - a) Un título tanto en español como en inglés que exprese claramente el problema a que se refiere. El formato para el título es: negritas, tamaño 14 y centrado;
 - b) El nombre del autor o autores, con sus iniciales correspondientes, sin expresión de títulos o grados académicos. El formato para los autores es: alineados a la izquierda, cada uno en un párrafo distinto y tamaño 12. Cada autor debe tener un número en formato superíndice indicando a qué afiliación pertenece;
 - c) La designación del laboratorio e institución donde se realizó el trabajo. La(s) afiliación(es) debe(n) estar abajo del grupo de autores. Cada afiliación deberá estar en un párrafo y tamaño

12. Al inicio de cada afiliación estará el número en superíndice que lo relaciona con uno o más autor/es.

d) El autor para correspondencia deberá estar en el siguiente párrafo, alineado a la izquierda, tamaño 12.

7. Todo trabajo deberá estar formado por los siguientes capítulos:

a) RESUMEN y ABSTRACT. Palabras clave y Key Words. El resumen debe venir después de la afiliación de los autores, alineado a la izquierda, tamaño 12. La palabra “Resumen: / Abstract:” debe venir en negritas y con dos puntos. El texto del resumen debe empezar en el párrafo siguiente, tamaño 12 y justificado. El texto “Palabras clave / Key Words:” debe venir en negritas seguido de dos puntos. Cada una de las palabras clave deben estar separadas por coma o punto y coma, finalizadas por punto.

b) INTRODUCCIÓN y MÉTODOS empleados. Cuando se trate de técnicas o métodos ya conocidos, solamente se les mencionará por la cita de la publicación original en la que se dieron a conocer. El formato para todas las secciones en esta lista es: negritas, tamaño 16 y centrado.

c) RESULTADOS obtenidos. Presentación acompañada del número necesario de gráficas, tablas, figuras o diagramas de tamaño muy cercano al que tendrá su reproducción impresa (19 x 14 cm).

d) DISCUSIÓN concisa de los resultados obtenidos, limitada a lo que sea original y a otros datos relacionados directamente y que se consideren nuevos.

e) CONCLUSIONES.

ESPECIFICACIONES DE FORMATO PARA EL CUERPO DEL TRABAJO

1. Secciones/Subtítulos de párrafo: Fuente tamaño 16, centrado, en negritas, con la primera letra en mayúscula.
2. Subsecciones/Subtítulos de párrafo secundarios : Fuente tamaño 14, centrado, en negritas, con la primera letra en mayúscula. Cuando existan subsecciones de subsección formatear en tamaño 13 negrita y centrado.
3. Cuerpo del texto: Fuente tamaño 12, justificado. NO debe haber saltos de línea entre párrafos.
4. Las notas de pie de página deben estar al final de cada página, fuente tamaño 12 justificadas.
5. Cita textual con mas de tres líneas: Fuente tamaño 12, margen izquierdo de 4 cm.
6. Título de imágenes: Fuente tamaño 12, centrado y en negritas, separado por dos puntos de su descripción. Descripción de las imágenes: tamaño 12.
7. Notas al pie de las imágenes: Fuente tamaño 12 y centradas con respecto a la imagen, la primera letra debe estar en mayúsculas.
8. Imágenes: deben estar en el cuerpo del texto, insertadas en formato png o jpg, a por lo menos 300 dpi de resolución y centradas. Las imagenes deben estar en línea con el texto. Se consideran imágenes: gráficos, cuadros, fotografías, diagramas y, en algunos casos, tablas y ecuaciones.
9. Tablas de tipo texto: El título de las columnas de las tablas debe estar en negritas y los datos del cuerpo de la tabla con fuente normal. Los nombres científicos deben estar en *italicas*. Se recomienda utilizar las Tablas como imágenes, estas deberán de ir centradas (a por lo menos 300 dpi de resolución).
10. Notas al pie de la tabla: Fuente tamaño 12 y centradas con respecto a la tabla, la primera letra debe estar en mayúsculas.
11. Ecuaciones pueden estar en Mathtype 1 o en imagen. En este último caso, seguir instrucciones del punto 8.
12. Citas del tipo autor y año deben estar entre paréntesis, con el apellido del autor seguido por el año (Souza, 2007), primera letra en mayúscula.

- 8. LITERATURA CITADA**, Se tomara como base el Estilo APA para las Referencias Bibliográficas, formada por las referencias mencionadas en el texto del trabajo y en orden alfabético. Es obligatorio utilizar Mendeley® (software bibliográfico). El propósito de utilizar este tipo de software es asegurar que los datos contenidos en las referencias están correctamente estructurados y corresponden a las citas del cuerpo del texto.

ESTRUCTURA Y FORMATO DE LOS AGRADECIMIENTOS Y REFERENCIAS BIBLIOGRÁFICAS

1. Los Agradecimientos deberán estar después de la última sección del cuerpo del texto. Esta información debe tener como título la palabra “Agradecimientos”, o su equivalente en otro idioma, en negritas, tamaño 12 y centrado. El texto de esta información debe estar en tamaño 12 justificado.
2. Las Referencias bibliográficas deben estar en orden alfabético sin salto de línea de párrafo, alineados a la izquierda, en tamaño 12.
3. Apéndices, anexos, glosarios y otros materiales deben incluirse después de las referencias bibliográficas. En caso de que estos materiales sean extensos deberán ser creados como archivos PDF.

9. REVISIÓN Y PUBLICACIÓN

Todos los artículos enviados a la revista para su posible publicación serán sometidos a una revisión “doble ciego”, se enviarán por lo menos a un par de árbitros, reconocidos especialistas nacionales o internacionales que los revisarán y evaluarán y serán los que finalmente recomienden la pertinencia o no de la publicación del artículo, cabe destacar que este es el medio con que contamos para cuidar el nivel y la calidad de los trabajos publicados.

Una vez aceptado el trabajo, se cobrarán al autor(es) \$299 por página más IVA, independientemente del número de fotografías que contenga.

PUBLICATION GUIDELINES

POLIBOTÁNICA, an international botanical journal supported by the National Polytechnic Institute, only publishes material resulting of original research in the botanic area. It has a periodicity of two issues per year with international distribution and an international Editorial Committee.

All articles submitted to POLIBOTÁNICA for publication are reviewed by at least a couple of referees. National or international recognized experts will evaluate all submitted materials in order to recommend the appropriateness or otherwise of a publication. Therefore, the quality of published papers in POLIBOTÁNICA is of the highest international standards.

FOR PUBLICATION OF ARTICLES

Originals that comply with the following requirements will be accepted:

1. POLIBOTÁNICA includes only items that represent the results of original research which have not been published. The author should attach an official and signed letter to Editor stating that the work is original and unpublished. We do not accept articles published or presented before or simultaneously in another journal, a fact that the author (s) must expressly declare in the letter.
2. When an article has been accepted, the author can no longer send it to a different national or foreign journal.
3. Articles should be written in Spanish, English, French or Portuguese. In the case of be written in

languages other than Spanish, it should include an abstract in English.

4. The article ought to be sent to the POLIBOTÁNICA's Open Journal System <http://www.polibotanica.mx/ojs> in an office-word file without a maximum number of pages with the following features:

a) on letter-size pages, Times New Roman font type, 12-point font size, double-spaced and 2 cm margin

5. The figures, images, graphics in the article must be attached as follows:

a) in jpg format

b) with a minimum resolution of 300 dpi and a minimum size of 140 mm wide

c) all characters must be legible and contrasted

6. All articles must include:

a) a title in both Spanish and English that clearly express the problem referred to. The format for this section is: bold, font size 14 and centered.;

b) the name of the author or authors, with their initials, no titles and no academic degrees. The format for this section is: font size 12, aligned to the left, each name in a different paragraph but without spaces in-between and a superscript number indicating the affiliation;

c) complete affiliations of all authors (including laboratory or research institution). The format for this section is: font size 12, aligned to the left, each name in a different paragraph but without spaces in-between and a superscript number at the beginning of the affiliation;

d) correspondence author should be in the next paragraph, font size 12 and aligned to the left.

7. All work should be composed of the following chapters:

a) RESUMEN and ABSTRACT. Palabras clave y Key Words. The format for this section is: bold, font size 12 and centered. Both words (RESUMEN: and ABSTRACT:) must include a colon, be in bold and aligned to the left. The body of the abstract must be justified and in font size 12. Both palabras clave: and keywords: must include a colon, be in bold and aligned to the left. Keywords must be separated by a comma or semicolon, must be justified and in font size 12.

b) INTRODUCTION y METHODS. In the case of techniques or methods that are already known, they were mentioned only by appointment of the original publication in which they were released.

c) RESULTS. Accompanied with presentation of the required number of graphs, tables, figures or diagrams very close to the size which will be printed (19 x 14 cm).

d) DISCUSSION. A concise discussion of the results obtained, limited to what is original and other related directly and considered new data.

e) CONCLUSIONS. The format for sections Introduction, Results, Discussion and Conclusions is: bold, font size 16 and centered.

FORMAT SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE BODY OF WORK

1. Sections: Font size 16, centered, bold, with the first letter capitalized.
2. Subsections / Secondary Subtitles: Font size 14, centered, bold, with the first letter capitalized. When there are second grade subsections format in size 13 bold and centered.
3. Body: Font size 12, justified. There should NOT be line breaks between paragraphs.
4. Footnotes should be at the bottom of each page, font size 12 and justified.
5. Textual quotation with more than three lines: Source size 12, left margin of 4 cm.
6. Image Title: Font size 12, centered and bold, separated by two points from its description. Description of the images: size 12.
7. Images Footnotes: Font size 12 and centered with respect to the image, the first letter must be in capital letters.
8. Images: must be in the body of the text, inserted in png or jpg format, at least 300 dpi resolution and centered. Images should be in line with the text. Graphs, charts, photographs, diagrams and, in some cases, tables and equations are considered images.
9. Text Tables: Only The title of the columns of the tables must be in bold. Scientific names must be in italics. It is recommended to use the Tables as images, they should be centered (at least 300 dpi resolution).
10. Footnotes: Font size 12 and centered with respect to the table, the first letter must be in upper case.
11. Equations can be in Mathtype 1 or in image. In the latter case, follow the instructions in point 8.
12. Quotations of the author and year type must be in parentheses, with the author's last name followed by the year (Souza, 2007), first letter in capital letters.

8. LITERATURE CITED. All references must be cited using the APA stile. POLIBOTÁNICA requires the use of Mendeley® (free reference manager) for the entire bibliography.

STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

1. Acknowledgments must be after the last section of the body of the text. This information should be titled the word "Acknowledgments", or its equivalent in another language, in bold, size 12 and centered. The text of this information must be in size 12 justified.
2. Bibliographical references should be in alphabetical order without paragraph line jump, aligned to the left, in size 12.
3. Appendices, annexes, glossaries and other materials should be included after the bibliographic references. If these materials are extensive they should be created as PDF files.

9. REVIEW AND PUBLICATION

All articles submitted to the journal for publication will undergo a review "double-blind", they will be sent at least a couple of referees, recognized national or international experts that reviewed and evaluated and will be finally recommended the relevance or the publication of the article, it is noteworthy that this is the means that we have to take care of the level and quality of published articles.

Once accepted the article, the author will be charged \$15 USD per text page, regardless of how many pictures it contains.

Toda correspondencia relacionada con la revista deberá ser dirigida a:

Dr. Rafael Fernández Nava
Editor en Jefe de

POLIBOTÁNICA

Departamento de Botánica
Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional
Apdo. Postal 17-564, CP 11410, Ciudad de México

Correo electrónico:
polibotanica@gmail.com
rfernand@ipn.mx

Dirección Web
http://www.polibotanica.mx

POLIBOTÁNICA es una revista indexada en:

CONAHCYT, índice de Revistas Mexicanas de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica del Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencia y Tecnología.

SciELO - Scientific Electronic Library Online.

Google Académico - Google Scholar.

DOAJ, Directorio de Revistas de Acceso Público.

Dialnet portal de difusión de la producción científica hispana.

REDIB Red Iberoamericana de Innovación y Conocimiento Científico.

LATINDEX, Sistema regional de información en línea para revistas científicas de América Latina, el Caribe, España y Portugal.

PERIODICA, Índice de Revistas Latinoamericanas en Ciencias.



EVALUACIÓN DE LAS RESPUESTAS DE TRES VARIEDADES DE TOMATE (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULADAS CON BACTERIAS CUANDO SE CULTIVAN EN CONDICIONES DE ESTRÉS POR AGUAS RESIDUALES Y SULFATO DE COBRE

EVALUATION OF THE RESPONSES OF THREE TOMATO VARIETIES (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULATED WITH BACTERIA WHEN GROWN UNDER STRESS CONDITIONS DUE TO WASTEWATER AND COPPER SULFATE

Gardezi, A.K., L.M. Inzunza Medina, G. Carrillo Castañeda, H.M. Ortega Escobar, O.R. Mancilla Villa, J.E. Rubiños Panta, J. Flores Velazquez, N. Meraz Maldonado, S.R. Marquez Berber, H. Flores Magdaleno, G. Haro Aguilar

EVALUACIÓN DE LAS RESPUESTAS DE TRES VARIEDADES DE TOMATE (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULADAS CON BACTERIAS CUANDO SE CULTIVAN EN CONDICIONES DE ESTRÉS POR AGUAS RESIDUALES Y SULFATO DE COBRE. EVALUATION OF THE RESPONSES OF THREE TOMATO VARIETIES (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULATED WITH BACTERIA WHEN GROWN UNDER STRESS CONDITIONS DUE TO WASTEWATER AND COPPER SULFATE



Evaluación de las respuestas de tres variedades de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) inoculadas con bacterias cuando se cultivan en condiciones de estrés por aguas residuales y sulfato de cobre.

Evaluation of the responses of three tomato varieties (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) inoculated with bacteria when grown under stress conditions due to wastewater and copper sulfate

Gardezi, A.K., L.M. Inzunza Medina, G. Carrillo Castañeda, H.M. Ortega Escobar, O.R. Mancilla Villa, J.E. Rubiños Panta, J. Flores Velazquez, N. Meraz Maldonado, S.R. Marquez Berber, H. Flores Magdaleno, G. Haro Aguilar

EVALUACIÓN DE LAS RESPUESTAS DE TRES VARIEDADES DE TOMATE (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULADAS CON BACTERIAS CUANDO SE CULTIVAN EN CONDICIONES DE ESTRÉS POR AGUAS RESIDUALES Y SULFATO DE COBRE.

EVALUATION OF THE RESPONSES OF THREE TOMATO VARIETIES (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) INOCULATED WITH BACTERIA WHEN GROWN UNDER STRESS CONDITIONS DUE TO WASTEWATER AND COPPER SULFATE

POLIBOTÁNICA

Instituto Politécnico Nacional

Núm. 60: 273-290. Julio 2025

DOI:

10.18387/polibotanica.60.16

Abdul Khalil Gardezi / kabdul@colpos.mx 
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2636-1579>

Leticia Manuela Inzunza Medina

Guillermo Carrillo Castañeda <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3444-3902>

Héctor Manuel Ortega Escobar <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1580-4795>

Colegio de Postgraduados. Campus Montecillo, Km. 36.5, México 136 5, Montecillo, 56264 Montecillo, México

Oscar Raúl Mancilla Villa <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4845-1188>

Centro Universitario de la Costa Sur, Universidad de Guadalajara. Aulán Jalisco, México

Juan Enrique Rubiños Panta <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9788-0280>

Jorge Flores-Velazquez <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0895-4645>

Nora Meraz Maldonado <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7181-793X>

Sergio Roberto Marquez Berber <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8509-6758>

Héctor Flores Magdaleno <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6404-7957>

Gabriel Haro Aguilar <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3457-506X>

Departamento de Fitotecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Chapingo, Texcoco, Estado de México

RESUMEN: El objetivo de esta investigación fue evaluar las respuestas de tres variedades *Solanum lycopersicum* L., inoculadas con cepas bacterianas, expuestas a condiciones de estrés por aguas residuales y sulfato de cobre, bajo condiciones de invernadero dentro de las instalaciones del Campus del Colegio de Postgrado Montecillo. Texcoco, México. El diseño experimental utilizado fue de bloques completamente al azar con un arreglo factorial, con tres repeticiones, dos niveles de sulfato de cobre, El sulfato es un compuesto que se encuentra en la naturaleza. Se encuentra de forma natural en el agua en diferentes cantidades. Si el agua contiene una gran cantidad de sulfato, puede tener un sabor amargo. Los sulfatos también se encuentran en minerales, suelo, rocas, plantas y alimentos. El sulfato de cobre pentahidratado ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) y su uso en agricultura. Esto forma cristales grandes de color azul brillante que contienen cinco moléculas de agua ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$) y también se conoce como vitriolo azul. La sal anhidra se crea calentando el hidrato a 150 °C (300 °F). El sulfato cúprico se utiliza principalmente con fines agrícolas, como pesticida, germicida, aditivo para piensos y aditivo para el suelo.

Dos tipos de suelo regado con dos fuentes de riego (aguas residuales y aguas limpias), tres consorcios bacterianos, tres variedades de jitomate. *Lycopersicum* (dos mejoradas y una nativa de campesino) para comparar el efecto sobre el desarrollo de crecimiento fisiológico.

Se realizaron análisis de varianza y comparaciones de media (Tukey, $p \leq 0.05$). Mediante el uso de consorcios bacterianos se promovió el desarrollo del cultivado en condición de estrés por cobre y en suelos regados históricamente con aguas residuales durante 120 días. En la variedad R.G. 22 el consorcio bacteriano (1) de *P. putida*, *P. fluorescens* y A9 tuvo mayor influencia en el desarrollo de la planta, en la variedad R.G. 19, la mejor respuesta ocurrió con el uso del consorcio bacteriano 3 (A, D, A7), en la variedad R.N.

22 y el uso de los dos consorcios bacterianos (1 y 3) favoreció el desarrollo de las plántulas. Las evaluaciones de la respuesta de plántula en invernadero a la inoculación fueron similares, por lo que las dos evaluaciones permitieron comprobar que los consorcios bacterianos 1 y 3 tuvieron un efecto positivo en el desarrollo de las plántulas.

La variedad R.G. 22 mediante el uso del consorcio bacteriano 1 que incluye las bacterias *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* y A9 presentó diferencias significativas con relación al tratamiento control. Se incrementó 11% la longitud del tallo y 3% el diámetro del tallo, se incrementó la longitud de la raíz en 14% y el volumen de la raíz en 33% y la biomasa seca de la parte aérea se incrementó en 14%, con respecto al testigo. En la variedad R.G. 19 mediante el uso del consorcio bacteriano 3 presentó un incremento 25% en la longitud del tallo y 7% de la raíz, 13% la biomasa seca de la parte aérea y 25% el área foliar. En la variedad R.N. 22 mediante el uso de los consorcios 1 y 3 se presentó un incremento en el número de hojas, 20% longitud y 60% biomasa de raíz y 17% en la biomasa seca de la parte aérea, en comparación con el testigo.

Palabras clave: presión hídrica, aguas residuales, biorremediación, consorcios bacterianos, variedades de jitomate,

ABSTRACT: The objective of this research was to evaluate the responses of three varieties of *Solanum lycopersicum* L., inoculated with bacterial strains and exposed to stress conditions by wastewater and copper sulfate under greenhouse conditions within the facilities of the Montecillo Graduate School Campus. Texcoco, Mexico. The experimental design used was completely randomized blocks with a factorial arrangement, with three replications and two levels of copper sulfate (with and without). Sulfate is a compound found in nature. It is found naturally in water in different quantities. The water may taste bitter if it contains a large amount of sulfate. Sulfates are also found in minerals, soil, rocks, plants, and foods. $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and its use in agriculture. This forms large, bright blue crystals containing five water molecules ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), also known as blue vitriol. Anhydrous salt is created by heating the hydrate to 300 °F (150 °C). Cupric sulfate is mainly used for agricultural purposes as a pesticide, germicide, feed additive, and soil additive. Two types of soil irrigated with two irrigation sources (wastewater and clean water), three bacterial consortia, and three varieties of tomato *lycopersicum* (two improved and one native peasant) were used to compare the effect on physiological growth development.

Analyses of variance and mean comparisons were performed (Tukey, $p \leq 0.05$). Using bacterial consortia, the crop's development was promoted under stress conditions by copper and in soils historically irrigated with wastewater for 120 days. In the R.G. 22 variety, the bacterial consortium (1) of *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and A9 had a more significant influence on the development of the plant, in the R.G. 19 variety, the best response occurred with the use of bacterial consortium 3 (A, D, A7), in the R.N. 22 variety and the use of the two bacterial consortia (1 and 3) favored the development of seedlings. The evaluations of the greenhouse seedling response to inoculation were similar, so the two evaluations allowed to verify that bacterial consortia 1 and 3 had a positive effect on seedling development. The R.G. 22 variety, through the use of bacterial consortium one that includes the bacteria *P. putida*, *P. fluorescens*, and A9, presented significant differences in the control treatment. The length of the stem and 3% diameter of the stem were increased, the length was increased by 14% and volume by 33% of the root, and the dry biomass of the aerial part increased by 14%, with respect to the control. In the R.G. 19 variety, through bacterial consortium 3, there was a 25% increase in stem length, 7% in root, 13% in the dry biomass of the aerial part, and 25% in leaf area. In the R.N. 22 variety, through the use of consortia 1 and 3, there was an increase in the number of leaves, 20% length and 60% root biomass, and 17% in the dry biomass of the aerial part, compared to the control.

Key words: water pressure, wastewater, bioremediation, bacterial consortia, tomato varieties.

INTRODUCTION

The global agricultural system is facing additional difficulties in the twenty-first century, including a drop in productivity and deterioration in the sustainability of agricultural ecosystems (Paice, 2022). Forecasts by the United Nations (United Nations, 2019) project that the global population will reach 9.7 billion people by 2050, resulting in a steady rise in food demand and its constrained available supply (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). Due to climate change, major cereal crops have seen significant production losses, with yield decreases of about 3.8% and 5% in reference to corn and wheat separately (Lipper *et al.*, 2014). Temperature rises have brought significant risks to agriculture, and the appearance of various abiotic factors has a negative impact on agricultural output (Paice, 2022). Many harmful abiotic environmental factors, such as salinity, turn arable land into barren land. This is because salinity drastically changes plant growth and metabolism, resulting in changes in plant physiology, morphology, and biochemistry (Gupta & Huang, 2014). By 2050, climate change will cause drought to impact over 50% of arable land (Osakabe *et al.*, 2014).

Drought affects crop hydration association, photosynthetic integration, and supplement utilization (Osakabe *et al.*, 2014). Heavy metal contamination is complex to remove and needed in small amounts for plant metabolic activities, but high levels harm the phytological and microbiological networks.

Food is necessary for survival and plays a crucial role in individual and societal development. Reduced crop yields are a significant cause for alarm. Pressure from a growing population on farmland to produce more food has resulted in the widespread usage of chemical inputs like fertilizers, herbicides, and insecticides. Through bioaccumulation and biomagnification, the effects of agrochemical runoff from such land have a negative impact on life on Earth. The use of insecticides to combat plant pests has unintended consequences for beneficial insects, soil fertility, and soil microbiota (Khatoon *et al.*, 2020). Harmful farming methods do not meet our needs and cannot provide a sustainable future. Sustainable agriculture has become increasingly important in recent times due to its focus on long-term environmental and social benefits. Knowing the importance of sustainable farming practices is crucial for meeting the world's future economic needs. These practices can help reduce the use of artificial pesticides and fertilizers while improving plant health and soil quality (de Andrade *et al.*, 2023).

This soil quality is preserved with its diversity within sustainable agriculture, thus producing safe food for future generations, guaranteeing long-term environmental health. Sustainable agriculture must preserve the soil's inherent diversity to secure long-term environmental health worldwide and produce adequate food for future generations (Kumar *et al.*, 2017).

Thus, eco-friendly alternatives, including the sustainable use of beneficial microorganisms, are crucial for alleviating environmental stress. Bacteria, known as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), are the most important soil microbes. The benefits for the crop are achieved in many ways, such as nitrogen fixation, breaking down phosphate, getting rid of heavy metals, making phytohormones (like auxin, gibberellins, cytokinin, etc.), breaking down crop residue, and stopping phytopathogens from growing (He *et al.*, 2019).

Researchers have demonstrated that PGPRs boost plant vitality and promote development without releasing harmful by-products into the atmosphere. With over 500 species, *Streptomyces* is the largest genus of Actinobacteria and a type genus of the Streptomycetaceae family among PGPRs (Mohammadipanah & Dehghani, 2017).

Crop production requires PGPR to boost plant nutrient availability since it directly or indirectly influences plant growth through root colonization (Hassanisaadi *et al.*, 2021). The PGPR enhances plant growth by bioremediating polluted soils by sequestering toxic heavy metal species and degrading xenobiotic compounds like pesticides. Additionally, it mobilizes soil nutrients, produces plant growth regulators, protects plants from phytopathogens by controlling or inhibiting them, and improves soil structure (Backer *et al.*, 2018).

Rhizosphere bacteria help cycle carbon through the earth and the environment and prevent soil carbon loss through metabolic activity. PGPRs can effectively control plant diseases brought on by fungi and bacteria. The phytomicrobiome, a group of beneficial bacteria, improves plant resilience to biotic and abiotic stress and agricultural productivity.

PGPR, a beneficial phytomicrobiome component, promotes plants' responses to biotic stress by producing chemical messengers, boosting their intake of nutrients, and releasing antibiotics (Lyu *et al.*, 2021).

In addition, one of the fundamental components of ecosystems is microbial communities that play important roles in the metabolism of organic matter and are involved in the degradation of a wide range of pollutants (Castillo Rogel *et al.*, 2020). This is why bacteria have been used to accumulate or metabolize different harmful compounds in the bioremediation process (Torres Rodríguez, 2003). Some species of bacteria with metabolic properties against harmful compounds are the following: *Pseudomonas putida*, *Deinococcus radiodurans*, *Cupriavidus metallidurans*, *Shewanella oneidensis*. Agriculture in Mexico is an important activity because of food production and because it generates jobs, foreign exchange, and relationships around the activity (Montaño Méndez *et al.*, 2021).

Fruit and vegetable activity represents 45% of exports, placing it as the most dynamic activity in terms of exports since the signing of the North American Free Trade Agreement, highlighting the export of products such as tomatoes (7.77%), peppers (3.9%), cucumbers (3.31%), lemons (2.86%), avocados (2.4%), and onions (2.23%) (Montaño Méndez *et al.*, 2021).

The tomato is one of the vegetables with the most significant economic importance worldwide. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Mexico ranks second in tomato exports, so increasing the production potential in adverse conditions is essential. The objective of this research was to evaluate the responses of three *Solanum lycopersicum* L. varieties inoculated with bacterial strains and exposed to stress conditions caused by wastewater and copper sulfate under greenhouse conditions.




Figure 1. Impact of phytostimulating PGPR on RSA, nutrient acquisition and root functioning. PGPR can modulate root development and growth by producing phytohormones, secondary metabolites, and enzymes. The most commonly observed effects are a reduction of the growth rate of the primary root and an increase in the number and length of lateral roots and root hairs. PGPR also influences plant nutrition via nitrogen fixation, solubilization of phosphorus, or siderophore production, and modifies root physiology by changing gene transcription and metabolite biosynthesis in plant cells (Vacheron *et al.*, 2013) <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356>

Figura 1. Impacto de la fitoestimulación con PGPR en la RSA, la adquisición de nutrientes y el funcionamiento radicular. La PGPR puede modular el desarrollo y el crecimiento radicular mediante la producción de fitohormonas, metabolitos secundarios y enzimas. Los efectos más comunes observados son la reducción de la tasa de crecimiento de la raíz primaria y el aumento del número y la longitud de las raíces laterales y los pelos radiculares. La PGPR también influye en la nutrición vegetal mediante la fijación de nitrógeno, la solubilización del fósforo o la producción de sideróforos, y modifica la fisiología radicular modificando la transcripción génica y la biosíntesis de metabolitos en las células vegetales (Vacheron *et al.*, 2013) <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356>




Figure 2. Plant growth promotes rhizobacteria (PGPR) and plant interactions in the rhizosphere (Vacheron *et al.*, 2013) <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356>.

Figura 2. El crecimiento de las plantas promueve las rizobacterias (PGPR) y las interacciones de las plantas en la rizosfera (Vacheron *et al.*, 2013) <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356>.

The application of beneficial microbes to food crops has been studied extensively; however, their implementation in the field is limited. Incorporating phytomicrobiome members in agricultural systems as a sustainable approach for disease management and nutrient supplements could reduce the adverse effects associated with the excess application of chemical inputs (fertilizers and pesticides) (Antar *et al.*, 2021). In addition, phytomicrobiome members have been employed as an effective strategy to mitigate certain biotic and abiotic stresses that could affect crop growth and production (Shah *et al.*, 2021).

METHODS

Experimental Site. The research was conducted under greenhouse conditions at the postgraduate college, Montecillo Campus, State of México at 19° 27' N and 98° 54' W. The Site has an altitude of 2245 meters above sea level, a mild climate, an average annual temperature of 16.4 °C, and a rainfall of 762.7 mm per year. The greenhouse had a flat, symmetrical triangular roof. The glass cover provided 70% light and was made of metal. Ventilation was provided through front and side windows. The laboratory tests took place in the Molecular Genetics Laboratory of the Postgraduate Program in Genetic Resources and Productivity of the Colegio de Postgraduados Campus Montecillo, Montecillo, Texcoco, Mexico. The greenhouse tests were carried out in a glass greenhouse within the facilities of the Colegio de Postgraduados Campus Montecillo, Montecillo, Texcoco, Mexico. The research was carried out in three stages; in the first, the viability of tomato seed exposed to CuSO₄ solutions, the effect of pre-germinative treatments as germination enhancers, and the response of the seed to inoculation with bacterial consortia were evaluated. The second stage consisted of determining the vigor of the tomato seedling whose seed was inoculated with bacterial consortia. In the third stage, the tomato plant was evaluated in a greenhouse up to 120 days after planting, developed under stress conditions by CuSO₄, and in soil irrigated with wastewater.

Biological material

Tomato seeds (*S. lycopersicum*) Rio Grande varieties (R.G. 22) had a purity of 99% and minimum germination of 90% in its last analysis in June 2022, Rio Grande variety (R.G. 19) purity of 99% with minimum germination of 92% in its last analysis in September 2019, and the native variety Kidney (R.N. 22), purity of 90% with germination of 95% in its last analysis in 2022, from Huatusco, Ver.

Table 1. Bacterial strains used to form bacterial consortia.**Tabla 1.** Cepas bacterianas utilizadas para formar consorcios bacterianos

Bacterial strains	Characteristic
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	bacteria fluorescence.
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	bacteria fluorescence.
A9	Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria, no fluorescence.
Avm	Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria, no fluorescence.
7	Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence.
1	Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence.
A	Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence.
D	Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence.
A7	Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria fluorescence.

Bacterial suspensions were prepared, for which cells (Figure 3) were transferred from each culture to test tubes containing distilled water. Suspensions adjusted to 0.9 (660 Nm) absorbance were formed in a spectrophotometer.




Figure 3. Bacterial strains. Pp (*Pseudomonas putida*), Pf (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*), A9 (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria no fluorescence), Avm (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria no fluorescence), 7 (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), 1 (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), A (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment

plant bacteria fluorescence), D (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), A7 (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria fluorescence).

Figura 3. Cepas bacterianas. Pp (*Pseudomonas putida*), Pf (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*), A9 (Aislada de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria sin fluorescencia), 7 (Aislada de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria sin fluorescencia), 7 (Aislada de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), 1 (Aislada de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), A (Aislada de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), D (Aislada de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), A7 (Aislada de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria con fluorescencia).

Bacterial consortia. The Bacterial consortia were prepared by arranging groups of three bacteria whose growth is not inhibited by the presence of any other bacteria (Figure 4), as follows:

- Consortium 1: *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* y A9
- Consortium 2: Avm, seven y 1
- Consortium 3: A, D, A7.



Figure 4. Growth of bacterial strains for consortia. Pp (*Pseudomonas putida*), Pf (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*), A9 (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria no fluorescence), Avm (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria no fluorescence), 7 (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), 1 (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), A (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), D (Isolated from sludge from the Atlacomulco wastewater treatment plant bacteria fluorescence), A7 (Isolated from roots of corn crop bacteria fluorescence).

Figura 4. Crecimiento de cepas bacterianas para consorcios. Pp (*Pseudomonas putida*), Pf (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*), A9 (Aislado de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria sin fluorescencia), Avm (Aislado de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria sin fluorescencia), 7 (Aislado de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), 1 (Aislado de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), A (Aislado de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), D (Aislado de lodos de la planta de tratamiento de aguas residuales de Atlacomulco, bacteria con fluorescencia), A7 (Aislado de raíces de cultivo de maíz, bacteria con fluorescencia).

Of the prepared bacterial suspensions, equal volumes (0.9 ml) of suspensions were mixed from the bacteria that made up each consortium.

Soil

Two types of soil from the Mezquital Valley in the state of Hidalgo were used. The soil considered uncontaminated, which has historically been irrigated with spring (or clean) water, was extracted from El Manantial, Tezontepec de Aldama, Hidalgo.

Moreover, a second soil was used, brought from the locality Mixquiahuala de Juárez in the state of Hidalgo, which has historically been irrigated with wastewater.

Samples were obtained from the two types of soil at depths of 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, and 10-40 cm. These samples were subjected to a soil analysis to determine the concentration of copper and its possible toxicity. Finally, for each soil type, a depth sample from 0-40 cm was used to establish the research study.

Solution of CuSO_4

The results obtained from the germination test in copper sulfate solution at different concentrations determined that the concentration to be used of CuSO_4 pentahydrate is 10-2 M. This concentration had effects on the germination process, but these were moderate.

RESULTS

The variable days to flowering only presented statistically significant differences in the variety R.G. 22 in the soil type factor ($p \leq 0.05$), increasing 9.13% the time flowering occurred in the soil historically irrigated with wastewater in relation to the soil irrigated with clean water. Although statistically, this variable did not vary in the soil type of the R.G. 19 variety and in the R.N. 22 variety, the flowering time also increased (Table 1).



Figure 5. Flower of tomato cultivation
Figura 5. Flor del cultivo del tomate.

Table 2. Days to flowering by factor and level.**Tabla 2.** Días a floración según factor y nivel.

Factors	Variety		
	R.G. 22	R.G. 19	R.N. 22
Soil type			
Soil irrigated with clean water	93.67 a	98.17 a	82.28 a
Soil irrigated with wastewater	102.22 b	103.28 a	79.33 a
Stress condition			
Without CuSO ₄	100.28 a	102.83 a	78.67 a
With CuSO ₄	95.61 a	98.61 a	82.94 a
Bacterial consortium			
Control	101.92 a	99.33 a	82.58 a
1	93.17 a	105.50 a	78.33 a
3	98.75 a	97.33 a	81.50

Note: Averages with the same letter per column do not differ statistically (Tukey, $p \leq 0.05$).

Consortium 1: *Pseudomonas putida*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* y A9, Consortium 3: A, D, A7.

The leaf area's magnitude defines the vegetation cover's ability to intercept photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), which is the primary source of energy used by plants to manufacture tissues and produce food compounds (Warnock *et al.*, 2006).

Leaf area. It is an important variable in most agricultural and physiological studies involved in plant growth, light harvesting, photosynthetic efficiency, respiration, and transpiration. Leaf area determination is generally performed by direct methods, in which leaves taken from plants are analyzed with the help of an integrated electronic area meter (Casierra Posada Peña Z G. R. & Peña Olmos J. E., 2007).

The leaf area was evaluated for a young leaf, which has reached its maximum development per plant of each treatment per variety at the end of the trial, i.e., on day 120 after planting. In the R.G 22 variety, the soil type factor influenced the leaf area since it differed statistically ($p \leq 0.05$) between the soil irrigated with clean water and the soil irrigated with wastewater (Table 3). Likewise, the bacterial consortium factor had significant differences between inoculation and control treatments.

The variety R.G.19 presented statistical differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in the factors of soil type and bacterial consortium. The leaf area was lower at the soil level, irrigated with residual, and at the control level, the treatment in which the seed was not inoculated.

The leaf area of the R.N. 22 variety had significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in the stress condition factor, reducing in exposure to CuSO₄. In addition, the bacterial consortium was statistically different from the control treatment.

Table 3. Leaf area (cm²) by factor and level.**Tabla 3.** Área foliar (cm²) por factor y nivel.

Factors and levels	Variety		
	R.G. 22	R.G. 19	R.N. 22
Soil type			
Soil irrigated with clean water	103.46 b	100.76 b	35.40 a
Soil irrigated with wastewater	68.06 a	72.69 a	34.71 a
Stress condition			
Without CuSO ₄	86.57 a	85.70 a	37.74 b
With CuSO ₄	84.95 a	87.75 a	32.36 a

Bacterial consortium			
Control	73.17 a	74.25 a	30.22 a
1	86.41 ab	92.45 b	36.25 ab
3	97.71 b	93.48 b	38.69 b

Note: Averages with the same letter per column do not differ statistically (Tukey, $p \leq 0.05$).

The leaf area is an indicator of photosynthetic efficiency since several processes are carried out in plants, such as leaf senescence, fruit ripening, internode elongation, dormancy, closure and opening of stomata, etc., which are regulated by phytohormones (auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, abscisic acid, and ethylene) (Daza-Martínez *et al.*, 2018).

In the varieties R.G. 22 and R.N. 22, the bacterial consortium 3 was the one that presented a significant increase with respect to the control; in the variety R.G. 19, the two consortia had a positive effect, observing an increase in the leaf area.

Root length

The root length evaluated at 120 days after planting in the variety R.G. 22 differed statistically ($P \leq 0.05$) in the soil type factor (Table 4), reducing in the soil irrigated with wastewater, in the same way, in the bacterial consortium factor consortium 1, which includes the *P. putida* bacteria, *P. fluorescens*, and A9 showed significant differences in relation to the control treatment.

The root is the bottom of the plant's shaft and is usually buried in the soil, although roots develop in the air or water. A plant's set of roots in the soil is called the root system. The roots' main functions are fixing the plant to the soil and absorbing water and mineral salts. Other functions are storage, the synthesis of plant hormones, the aeration of the plant in aquatic environments, as a means of propagation of new plants, etc. In many species, the root is symbiontly associated with certain species of fungi to form mycorrhizae, and some plants, such as legumes, can also associate with bacteria in a symbiotic way, forming structures called nodules (Megias *et al.*, 2020).

On the other hand, in the variety R.G. 19, there were significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) for the bacterial consortium factor, where consortium 3, made up of bacteria A, D, and A7, had a longer root length than the control.

In the case of the R.N. 22 variety, the statistical difference ($p \leq 0.05$) was presented for the bacterial consortium factor between the control and the two bacterial consortia used.

Table 4. Root length (cm) by factor and level.
Tabla 4. Longitud de raíz (cm) por factor y nivel.

Factors and levels	Variety		
	R.G. 22	R.G. 19	R.N. 22
Soil type			
Soil irrigated with clean water	39.44 b	36.61 a	33.67 a
Soil irrigated with wastewater	34.94 a	36.44 a	32.50 a
Stress condition			
Without CuSO ₄	37.11 a	37.78 a	33.39 a
With CuSO ₄	37.28 a	35.28 a	32.78 a
Bacterial consortium			
Control	33.67 a	32.33 a	29.00 a
1	41.58 b	37.83 ab	35.17 b
3	36.33 ab	39.42 b	35.08 b

Note: a) Control treatment, b) Inoculation treatment with consortium 1, c) Inoculation treatment with consortium 3.



Figure 6. Tomato plant root.
Figura 6. Raíz de la planta de tomate.

A stage essential for plant growth-promoting bacteria to promote plant development is the colonization of the root system. Root colonization includes four stages: attraction, recognition, adhesion, and invasion, all of which are affected by biotic and abiotic factors. Microorganisms that settle on seeds during germination can grow and colonize the roots to their full extent. Seed colonization during the impregnation or immersion phase significantly affects plant growth (Moreno Reséndez *et al.*, 2018).

In this study, in the R.G. 22 variety, the results obtained with the bacterial consortium 1 differ statistically from the control; in the R.G. 19 variety, the bacterial consortium 3 had a positive effect and differed from the control; in the R.N 22 variety, a favorable response was presented with the use of the two consortia. Similarly, (Lyu *et al.*, 2021) point out that root length has a greater development by inoculating with plant growth-promoting bacteria in tomato cultivation under greenhouse conditions.

Root volume

Considering the soil type factor, the three varieties had significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) in the root volume variable (Table 5), reducing considerably in the soil historically irrigated with wastewater. On the contrary, no statistically significant differences existed in the stress condition factor. Regarding the bacterial consortium factor, in the R.G. 22 variety, the root volume differed statistically between the control treatment and the inoculation treatment with the number 1 consortium.

Table 5. Root volume (cm³) by factor and level.
Tabla 5. Volumen radicular (cm³) según factor y nivel.

Factors and levels	Variety		
	R.G. 22	R.G. 19	R.N. 22
Soil type			
Soil irrigated with clean water	30.28 b	28.61 b	22.50 b
Soil irrigated with wastewater	23.33 a	23.06 a	14.17 a
Stress condition			
Without CuSO ₄	25.83 a	26.39 a	18.61 a
With CuSO ₄	27.78 a	25.28 a	18.06 a
Bacterial consortium			
Control	22.08 a	25.83 a	17.50 a
1	30.42 b	23.75 a	18.33 a
3	27.92 ab	27.92 a	19.17 a

Note: Averages with the same letter per column do not differ statistically (Tukey, $p \leq 0.05$).

Bacteria can be important plant allies since some, in interaction, produce various metabolites that stimulate or inhibit metabolic pathways that favor plant growth. In tomato cultivation, the plants benefit from their interaction with these bacteria-

Likewise, (Daza-Martínez *et al.*, 2018) indicates that the use of growth-promoting bacteria in tomato cultivation stimulates the development of the root system, presenting a greater volume, which coincides with the results obtained in the R.G. 22 Variety-

DISCUSSION

With the increasing prominence of environmental issues, reducing the use of chemical synthetic fertilizers and pesticides have become a consensus among people (Keeler, B.L., 2016). Microbial interactions in the rhizosphere with plant growth-promoting microorganisms can act as a desired approach to enhancing plant productivity, promoting litter decomposition and soil fertility, and accelerating nutrient cycling (Rodríguez-Caballero *et al.*, 2018). In many plants, such as potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*), coliflor (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), and maize (*Zea mays*), it has been shown that microbial combinations have a greater potential to promote plant growth compared to the application of single bacterial strains (Rolli *et al.*, 2015; Rivett *et al.*, 2018).

Days to flowering

Days to flowering. The flower is the reproductive organ of most plants. The spermatophyte group, i.e., seeded plants, is represented by gymnosperms and angiosperms. Both groups of plants have flowers, but in the case of gymnosperms, the flowers are inflorescences that will not give rise to a fruit. On the contrary, angiosperms have typical flowers that, after fertilization, will form seeds enclosed in fruits (Megías *et al.*, 2020). Flowers appear during the plants' reproductive season through a process called flowering. Like all plant organs, flowers originate from meristematic activity. The apical meristem transforms size, organization, and mitotic activity and becomes an apex for reproduction (Megías *et al.*, 2020). (Morales Castillo H., 2009) indicates that tomato varieties of certain growth begin between 55 and 60 days after planting, while those of indeterminate growth begin between 65 and 75 days after planting.

The variable days to flowering only presented statistically significant differences in the variety R.G. 22 in the soil type factor ($P \leq 0.05$), increasing 9.13% the time flowering occurred in the soil historically irrigated with wastewater in relation to the soil irrigated with clean water.

Although statistically, this variable did not vary in the soil type of the R.G. 19 variety and in the R.N. 22 variety, the flowering time also increased. Bacterial consortia did not influence the days of flowering in the tomato crop, which counted from planting. In the results obtained by (Carrillo Castaneda *et al.*, 2000), there were significant differences in the number of days to flowering of plants inoculated with bacterial strains; however, according to the type of strain used, this difference was positive generating precocity, or negative prolonging the flowering process, compared to the control.

Bacterial consortia did not influence the days of flowering in the tomato crop, which counted from planting. In the results obtained by (Carrillo Castaneda *et al.*, 2000), there were significant differences in the number of days to flowering of plants inoculated with bacterial strains; however, according to the type of strain used, this difference was positive generating precocity, or negative prolonging the flowering process, compared to the control.

Leaf area

The leaf area's magnitude defines the vegetation cover's ability to intercept photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), which is the primary source of energy used by plants to manufacture tissues and produce food compounds (Warnock *et al.*, 2006). Leaf area is an important variable in most agricultural and physiological studies involved in plant growth, light harvesting, photosynthetic efficiency, respiration, and transpiration. Leaf area determination is generally performed by direct methods, in which leaves taken from plants are analyzed with the help of an integrated electronic area meter (Casierra Posada Peña Z G. R. & Peña Olmos J. E., 2007). The leaf area was evaluated for a young leaf, which reached its maximum development per plant of each treatment per variety at the end of the trial, i.e., on day 120 after planting, the leaf area increased by 25% with respect to the control treatment. In the R.G 22 variety, the soil type factor influenced the leaf area since it differed statistically ($p \leq 0.05$) between the soil irrigated with clean water and irrigated with wastewater. Likewise, the bacterial consortium factor had significant differences between inoculation and control treatments.

The variety R.G.19 presented statistical differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in soil type and bacterial consortium factors. The leaf area was lower at the soil level irrigated with residual and at the control level, the treatment in which the seed was not inoculated. The leaf area of the Rn 22 variety had significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in the stress condition factor, reducing exposure to CuSO_4 . In addition, the bacterial consortium was statistically different from the control treatment.

The leaf area is an indicator of photosynthetic efficiency since several processes are carried out in plants, such as leaf senescence, fruit ripening, internode elongation, dormancy, closure, and opening of stomata, which are regulated by phytohormones (auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, abscisic acid, and ethylene) (Daza-Martínez *et al.*, 2018). In their research (Daza-Martínez *et al.*, 2018) pointed out that the leaf area of the tomato crop through the use of bacteria increases with respect to the control, which coincides with the results obtained. In the varieties R.G. 22 and the bacterial consortium 3 was the one that presented a significant increase (25%) with respect to the control; in the variety R.G. 19, the two consortia had a positive effect, observing an increase in the leaf area.

Root Length

The root is the lower part of the plant's shaft and is usually buried in the ground, although roots develop in the air or in water. A plant's set of roots in the soil is called the root system. The roots' main functions are fixing the plant to the soil and absorbing water and mineral salts. Other functions are storage, the synthesis of plant hormones, the aeration of the plant in aquatic environments, and the propagation of new plants. In many species, the root is associated in a symbiotic way with certain species of fungi to form mycorrhizae. Also, some plants, such as legumes, can associate with bacteria in a symbiotic way, forming structures called nodules (Megías *et al.*, 2020).

The root length evaluated at 120 days after planting in the variety R.G. 22 differed statistically ($p \leq 0.05$) in the soil type factor, reducing in the soil irrigated with wastewater, in the same way, in the bacterial consortium factor consortium 1, which includes the *P. putida* bacteria, *P. fluorescens*, and A9 showed significant differences in relation to the control treatment.

On the other hand, in the variety R.G. 19, there were significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) for the bacterial consortium factor, where consortium 3, made up of bacteria A, D, and A7, had a longer root length than the control.

In the case of the R.N. 22 variety, the statistical difference ($p \leq 0.05$) was presented for the bacterial consortium factor between the control and the two bacterial consortia used. A stage essential for plant growth-promoting bacteria to promote plant development is the colonization of their root system. Root colonization includes four stages: attraction, recognition, adhesion, and invasion, all affected by biotic and abiotic factors. Microorganisms that settle on seeds during germination can grow and colonize the roots to their full extent. Seed colonization during the impregnation or immersion phase significantly affects plant growth (Moreno Reséndez *et al.*, 2018).

In this study, in the R.G. 22 variety, the results obtained with the bacterial consortium 1 differ statistically from the control; in the R.G. 19 variety, the bacterial consortium 3 had a positive effect and differed from the control; in the Rn 22 variety, a favorable response was presented with the use of the two consortia. Similarly (Sánchez López D. B., Garrido Rubiano M. F. & Bonilla Buitrago R. R., 2018) point out that root length has a greater development by inoculating with plant growth-promoting bacteria in tomato cultivation under greenhouse conditions.

Root volume Considering the soil type factor, the three varieties had significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in the root volume variable (Table 4), reducing considerably in the soil historically irrigated with wastewater. On the contrary, no statistically significant differences existed in the stress condition factor. Regarding the bacterial consortium factor, in the R.G. 22 variety, the root volume differed statistically between the control treatment and the inoculation treatment with the number one consortium.

Bacteria can be important plant allies since some, in interaction, produce various metabolites that stimulate or inhibit metabolic pathways that favor plant growth. In tomato cultivation, the plants benefit from their interaction with these bacteria. The root volume of the inoculated plants was greater than that of the non-inoculated plants, improving their ability to explore and enabling them to assimilate nutrients better (Andrade-Sifuentes *et al.*, 2022).

Likewise, (Daza-Martínez *et al.*, 2018) indicates that the use of growth-promoting bacteria in tomato cultivation stimulates the development of the root system, presenting a greater volume, which coincides with the results obtained in the R.G. 22 variety.

CONCLUSIONS

Factors such as temperature, seed hydration, and seed storage time determined the germination process. In addition, pre-germination hydration treatments allowed seeds to recover their germination capacity with a long storage time.

The evaluations of the seedling response in vitro and the greenhouse to inoculation were similar, so the two evaluations allowed to verify that bacterial consortia 1 and 3 had a positive effect on seedling development. Using bacterial consortia, the development of tomatoes grown under copper stress conditions and in soils historically irrigated with wastewater for 120 days was promoted. In the R.G. 22 variety, bacterial consortium 1 (*P. putida*, *P. fluorescens*, and A9) had a greater influence on plant development; in the R.G. 19 variety, the best response occurred with the use of bacterial consortium 3 (A, D, A7) and in the Rn 22 variety, the use of the two bacterial consortia (1 and 3) favored plant development.

In this way, bacterial consortia 1 and 3 promoted the development of the tomato plant grown under copper stress conditions and in soils irrigated with wastewater. However, differences between varieties were presented. The R.G. 22 variety, through the use of bacterial consortium one that includes the bacteria *P. putida*, *P. fluorescens*, and A9, presented significant differences in relation to the control treatment. The length of the stem and 3% diameter of the stem were increased, the length was increased by 14% and volume by 33% of the root, and the dry biomass of the aerial part increased by 14%, with respect to the control. In the R.G. 19 variety, through the use of bacterial consortium 3, there was a 25% increase in stem length, 7% in root, 13% in the dry biomass of the aerial part, and 25% in leaf area. In the R.N. 22 variety, through the use of

consortia 1 and 3, there was an increase in the number of leaves, 20% length and 60% root biomass, and 17% in the dry biomass of the aerial part, compared to the control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnologías CONAHCYT, for awarding a scholarship for a Master's degree in science, which financed this research.

REFERENCES

- Andrade-Sifuentes, A., Fortis-Hernández, M., Preciado-Rangel, P., Sáenz-Mata, J., Coria-Arellano, Y., & Guigón López, C. (2022). Promoción del crecimiento de tomate saladette con *Bacillus cereus* y estiércol solarizado en invernadero. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Agrícolas*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v13i7.3120>
- Antar, M., Gopal, P., Msimbira, L. A., Naamala, J., Nazari, M., Overbeek, W., Backer, R., & Smith, D. L. (2021). *Inter-Organismal Signaling in the Rhizosphere*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6125-2_13
- Backer, R., Rokem, J. S., Ilangumaran, G., Lamont, J., Praslickova, D., Ricci, E., Subramanian, S., & Smith, D. L. (2018). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: Context, mechanisms of action, and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. In *Frontiers in Plant Science* (Vol. 871). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01473>
- Carrillo Castaneda, G., Munoz, J. J., Landa, D. R., & Garcia, R. M. (2000). Aumento del rendimiento de tomate (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) cuando la raíz se desarrolla colonizada por microorganismos. *Biotecnología Aplicada*, 17(3).
- Casierra Posada Peña Z G. R. & Peña Olmos J. E., F. (2007). Estimación indirecta área foliar en *Fragaria vesca* L., *Physalis peruviana* L., *Acca sellowiana* (Berg.) Burret, *Rubus glaucus* L., *Passiflora mollissima* (Kunth) L. H. Bailey y *Ficus carica* L. In *Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad & Divulgación Científica*. <https://doi.org/10.31910/rudca.v11.n1.2008.606>
- Castillo Rogel, R. T., More Calero, F. J., Cornejo La Torre, M., Fernández Ponce, J. N., & Mialhe Matonnier, E. L. (2020). Aislamiento de bacterias con potencial biorremediador y análisis de comunidades bacterianas de zona impactada por derrame de petróleo en Condorcanqui – Amazonas – Perú. *Revista de Investigaciones Altoandinas - Journal of High Andean Research*, 22(3), 2015–2225. <https://doi.org/10.18271/ria.2020.656>
- Daza-Martínez, Y. M., Almaraz-Suarez, J. J. E., Rodríguez-Mendoza, M. N., Angulo-Castro, A., & Silva-Rojas, H. V. (2018). Aislamiento de rizobacterias asociadas a tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) y su potencial para promover crecimiento vegetal. *Información Técnica Económica Agraria*. <https://doi.org/10.12706/itea.2021.036>
- de Andrade, L. A., Santos, C. H. B., Frezarin, E. T., Sales, L. R., & Rigobelo, E. C. (2023). Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Agricultural Production. In *Microorganisms* (Vol. 11, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11041088>
- Gupta, B., & Huang, B. (2014). Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: Physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. In *International Journal of Genomics* (Vol. 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/701596>
- Hasan, A., Tabassum, B., Hashim, M., & Khan, N. (2024). Role of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) as a Plant Growth Enhancer for Sustainable Agriculture: A Review. *Bacteria*, 3(2), 59–75. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bacteria3020005>
- Hassanisaadi, M., Shahidi Bonjar, G. H., Hosseinipour, A., Abdolshahi, R., Barka, E. A., & Saadoun, I. (2021). Biological control of pythium aphanidermatum, the causal agent of tomato root rot by two streptomyces root symbionts. *Agronomy*, 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050846>

- He, Y., Pantigoso, H. A., Wu, Z., & Vivanco, J. M. (2019). Co-inoculation of *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas putida* at different development stages acts as a biostimulant to promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake of tomato. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 127(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14273>
- Khatoon, Z., Huang, S., Rafique, M., Fakhar, A., Kamran, M. A., & Santoyo, G. (2020). Unlocking the potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on soil health and the sustainability of agricultural systems. In *Journal of Environmental Management* (Vol. 273). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111118>
- Kumar, A., Maurya, B. R., Raghuvanshi, R., Meena, V. S., & Tofazzal Islam, M. (2017). Co-inoculation with Enterobacter and Rhizobacteria on Yield and Nutrient Uptake by Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the Alluvial Soil Under Indo-Gangetic Plain of India. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 36(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9663-5>
- Lyu, D., Zajonc, J., Pagé, A., Tanney, C. A. S., Shah, A., Monjezi, N., Msimbira, L. A., Antar, M., Nazari, M., Backer, R., & Smith, D. L. (2021). Plant holobiont theory: The phytomicrobiome plays a central role in evolution and success. In *Microorganisms* (Vol. 9, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9040675>
- Megías, M., Molist, P., & Pombal, M. A. (2020). Atlas de Histología Vegetal y Animal: Tejidos Vegetales. In *Universidad de Vigo*.
- Mohammadipanah, F., & Dehghani, M. (2017). Classification and taxonomy of actinobacteria. In *Biology and Biotechnology of Actinobacteria*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60339-1_4
- Montaño Méndez, I. E., Valenzuela Patrón, I. N., & Villavicencio López, K. V. (2021). Competitividad del tomate rojo de México en el mercado internacional: análisis 2003-2017. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Agrícolas*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v12i7.2531>
- Moreno Reséndez, A., García Mendoza, V., Reyes Carrillo, J. L., Vásquez Arroyo, J., & Cano Ríos, P. (2018). Rizobacterias promotoras del crecimiento vegetal: una alternativa de biofertilización para la agricultura sustentable. *Revista Colombiana de Biotecnología*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.15446/rev.colomb.biote.v20n1.73707>
- Osakabe, Y., Osakabe, K., Shinozaki, K., & Tran, L. S. P. (2014). Response of plants to water stress. In *Frontiers in Plant Science* (Vol. 5, Issue MAR). Frontiers Research Foundation. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00086>
- Paice, E. (2022). By 2050, a quarter of the world's people will be African – this will shape our future. In *The Guardian*.
- Rivett, D. W., Jones, M. L., Ramoneda, J., Mombrikotb, S. B., Ransome, E., & Bell, T. (2018). Elevated success of multispecies bacterial invasions impacts community composition during ecological succession. In *Ecology Letters* (Vol. 21, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12916>
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Castro, A. J., Chamizo, S., Quintas-Soriano, C., Garcia-Llorente, M., Cantón, Y., & Weber, B. (2018). Ecosystem services provided by biocrusts: From ecosystem functions to social values. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2017.09.005>
- Rolli, E., Marasco, R., Vigani, G., Ettoumi, B., Mapelli, F., Deangelis, M. L., Gandolfi, C., Casati, E., Previtali, F., Gerbino, R., Pierotti Cei, F., Borin, S., Sorlini, C., Zocchi, G., & Daffonchio, D. (2015). Improved plant resistance to drought is promoted by the root-associated microbiome as a water stress-dependent trait. *Environmental Microbiology*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12439>
- Sánchez López D. B. Garrido Rubiano M. F. & Bonilla Buitrago R. R, G.-V. R. M. (2018). Inoculación con bacterias promotoras de crecimiento vegetal en tomate bajo condiciones de invernadero. *Revista Mexicana De Ciencias Agrícolas*, 3 (7), 1401–1415. <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v3i7.1346>
- Shah, A., Nazari, M., Antar, M., Msimbira, L. A., Naamala, J., Lyu, D., Rabileh, M., Zajonc, J., & Smith, D. L. (2021). PGPR in Agriculture: A Sustainable Approach to Increasing Climate Change Resilience. In *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* (Vol. 5). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.667546>

Recibido:
3/agosto/2024

Aceptado:
12/enero/2025

- Torres Rodríguez, D. (2003). El papel de los microorganismos en la biodegradación de compuestos tóxicos. *Ecosistemas*, 12 (2), 1–5. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/540/54012219.pdf>
- United Nations. (2019). *Population Facts December*.
- Vacheron, J., Desbrosses, G., Bouffaud, M. L., Touraine, B., Moëne-Loccoz, Y., Muller, D., Legendre, L., Wisniewski-Dyé, F., & Prigent-Combaret, C. (2013). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4(SEP). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356>
- Warnock, R., Valenzuela, J., Trujillo, A., & Madriz, P. (2006). Área Foliar, Componentes del Área foliar y rendimiento de seis genotipos de caraota 1. *Agronomía Trop*, 56(1).